

Involutions of a canonical curve.

Luis Fuentes*

Manuel Pedreira

Authors' address: Departamento de Algebra, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela.
15706 Santiago de Compostela. Galicia. Spain. e-mail: pedreira@zmat.usc.es;
luisfg@usc.es

Abstract: We give a geometrical characterization of the ideal of quadrics containing a canonical curve with an involution. This implies to study involutions of rational normal scrolls and Veronese surfaces.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (1991): Primary, 14H37; secondary, 14H30, 14J26.

Key Words: Canonical curve, involution, rational normal scrolls.

Introduction: Let C be a nonhyperelliptic smooth curve of genus π . An involution of C is an automorphism $\varphi : C \rightarrow C$ such that $\varphi^2 = id$. It induces a double cover $\gamma : C \rightarrow C/\varphi = X$, where X is a smooth curve of genus g . We say that C has an involution of genus g . By Hurwitz formula, we know that $\pi \geq 2g - 1$. It is well known that a general smooth curve of genus $\pi \geq 3$ has not nontrivial automorphisms. In particular a smooth curve with an involution is not generic.

In this paper we give a geometric characterization of the ideal of quadrics containing the canonical model of a nonhyperelliptic curve C with an involution. Let $C_K \subset \mathbf{P}^{\pi-1}$ be the canonical model of C . We see that an involution of C_K is a harmonic involution; that is, it can be extended to $\mathbf{P}^{\pi-1}$.

An involution $\bar{\varphi}$ of $\mathbf{P}^n$ has two complementary spaces of base points S_1 and S_2 . Moreover, $\bar{\varphi}$ induces an involution $\bar{\varphi}^*$ in the space of quadrics of $\mathbf{P}^n$. This involution has two spaces of base points: the *base quadrics*, that is, quadrics containing the spaces S_1 and S_2 and the *harmonic quadrics*, that is, quadrics such that S_1 and S_2 are polar respect to them. A subspace $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2)))$ is called a *base-harmonic system* respect to S_1, S_2 when it is a fixed space of $\bar{\varphi}^*$. In this case Σ_b and Σ_h will denote the base quadrics and the harmonic quadrics of Σ respectively.

We prove the following Theorem:

*Supported by an F.P.U. fellowship of Spanish Government

Theorem 2.4.5 *Let $C_{\mathcal{K}} \subset \mathbf{P}^{\pi-1}$ be the canonical curve of genus π , with $\pi > 4$. If $C_{\mathcal{K}}$ has an involution of genus g then $\pi \geq 2g - 1$ and the quadrics of $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_{\mathcal{K}}}(2)))$ are a base-harmonic system respect to the base spaces $\mathbf{P}^{g-1}$, $\mathbf{P}^{\pi-g-1}$ that contains $(g-1)(\pi-g-2)$ independent base quadrics. Conversely, these conditions are sufficient to grant the existence of an involution, except when:*

1. $\pi = 6, g = 2$ and $C_{\mathcal{K}}$ has a g_5^2 ; or
2. $\pi = 2g, 2g + 1$ or $2g + 2$ and $C_{\mathcal{K}}$ is trigonal.

■

First we prove that the conditions are sufficient when the curve $C_{\mathcal{K}}$ is complete intersection of quadrics. The Enriques-Babbage Theorem says that $C_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the complete intersection of quadrics except when it is trigonal or C is a quintic smooth curve. In these cases the quadrics containing the canonical curve intersect in a rational normal scroll and in the Veronese surface respectively.

In order to examine the special cases we make an study of the harmonic involutions of the rational normal scrolls and the Veronese surface. We compute the number of base quadrics on each case. From this calculus we obtain the Corollaries 2.4.2 and 2.4.3:

Corollary 2.4.2 *The unique involutions on a trigonal canonical curve of genus π , $\pi > 4$ are of genus $\frac{\pi}{2}$, $\frac{\pi-1}{2}$ or $\frac{\pi-2}{2}$.*

■

Corollary 2.4.3 *The unique involutions on a smooth quintic plane curve are of genus 2.*

■

Furthermore, we make a particular geometrical study of the canonical curves of genus 4 with an involution of genus 2 and genus 1.

Note that to compute the number of independent base quadrics containing the canonical curves with and involution we need the result about the projective normality of the canonical scrolls (see [2], §5). We will follow the notation of [1] and [3] to work with scrolls and ruled surfaces.

We thanks Lawrence Ein by his interest on this work during his visit to our Department on November, 2001.

1 Harmonic involutions.

Definition 1.1 Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be a projective variety. An isomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is called an involution if $\varphi^2 = \text{Id}$. Moreover, if φ is the restriction of an involution $\overline{\varphi} : \mathbf{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ then φ is called a harmonic involution.

Proposition 1.2 Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be a linearly normal projective variety. An involution $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is harmonic if and only if $\varphi^*(X \cap H) \sim X \cap H$ for all hyperplane H .

Proof: If φ is harmonic we clearly have that $\varphi^*(X \cap H) \sim X \cap H$.

Conversely, if $\varphi^*(X \cap H) \sim X \cap H$ for all hyperplane, then we have an involution $\varphi^* : H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(1)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(1))$ that makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^\vee) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}(\varphi^{*\vee})} & \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^\vee) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X \end{array}$$

so φ extends to $\mathbf{P}^n$. ■

Examples:

1. Any involution of a rational curve $D_n \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ is harmonic.

It is sufficient to note that $\varphi^*(D_n \cap H)$ has degree n ; because D_n is a rational curve, it follows that $\varphi^*(D_n \cap H) \sim D_n \cap H$.

2. Any involution of a canonical curve $C_K \subset \mathbf{P}^{\pi-1}$ of genus π is harmonic.

The linear system $|\varphi^*(C_K \cap H)|$ has degree $2\pi - 2$ and it has dimension π , so it is the canonical linear system and $\varphi^*(C_K \cap H) \sim C_K \cap H$.

3. Any involution of a normal rational scroll $R_{n-1} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ with invariant $e > 0$ is harmonic.

Let $S_e = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_{P^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{P^1}(-e))$ be the ruled surface associated to R_{n-1} . We know that $H \cap R_{n-1} \sim X_0 + \mathbf{b}f$. Since φ is an isomorphism, $\varphi^*(X_0)^2 = X_0^2$ and $\varphi^*(f)^2 = f^2 = 0$. But, in S_e with $e > 0$, X_0 is the unique curve with negative self-intersection and f is the unique curve with self-intersection 0. From this $\varphi^*(X_0) \sim X_0$ and $\varphi^*(f) \sim f$. Then:

$$\varphi^*(H \cap R_{n-1}) \sim \varphi^*(X_0 + \mathbf{b}f) \sim \varphi^*(X_0) + \mathbf{b}\varphi^*(f) \sim X_0 + \mathbf{b}f \sim H \cap R_{n-1}$$

We recall some basic facts about involutions in a projective space.

Proposition 1.3 Any involution $\overline{\varphi}$ of $\mathbf{P}^n$ has two complementary spaces $S_1, S_2 \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ of base points. In this way, the image of a point P is the fourth harmonic of $P, l \cap S_1$ and $l \cap S_2$, where l is the unique line passing through P verifying $l \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $l \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Conversely, any pair of complementary spaces S_1, S_2 of $\mathbf{P}^n$ defines an involution of $\mathbf{P}^n$. ■

Remark 1.4 If we take a base of $\mathbf{P}^n$, $W = \{P_1, \dots, P_{k+1}, P'_1, \dots, P'_{k'+1}\}$ where $\langle P_1, \dots, P_{k+1} \rangle = S_1$ and $\langle P'_1, \dots, P'_{k'+1} \rangle = S_2$ then the involution φ is given by the matrix:

$$M_\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} Id & 0 \\ 0 & -Id \end{pmatrix}$$
■

Definition 1.5 Under the above assumptions, we say that φ is harmonic respect to S_1 and S_2 . Moreover, S_1 and S_2 are called the base spaces of φ .

Remark 1.6 The linear isomorphism $\overline{\varphi}$ induces an isomorphism:

$$\overline{\varphi}^* : \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2))) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2)))$$

Because $\overline{\varphi}$ is an involution, $\overline{\varphi}^*$ is an involution too. Therefore, it has two complementary spaces of base points. Taking coordinates respect to the base W , a quadric $Q \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ has a matrix:

$$M_Q = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ C^t & B \end{pmatrix}$$

We see that $\overline{\varphi}^*(Q) = Q$ if and only if $M_{\overline{\varphi}^*(Q)} = M_\varphi M_Q M_\varphi = \lambda M_Q$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$, if and only if $A = B = 0$ or $C = 0$. In the first case Q is called a harmonic quadric and in the second case Q is called a base quadric. The set of harmonic quadrics will be denoted by $\mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2))_h)$ and the set of base quadrics by $\mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2))_b)$. We have that:

1. Q is a harmonic quadric if and only if $P'^t M_Q P = 0$ for each $P \in S_1, P' \in S_2$; that is, if S_1 and S_2 are polar respect to Q .
 2. Q is a base quadric if and only if $S_1, S_2 \subset Q$.
-

Definition 1.7 Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2)))$ be a projective subspace $\Sigma = \mathbf{P}(V)$ and let $\bar{\varphi} : \mathbf{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ a harmonic involution respect to two spaces S_1, S_2 . Σ is called a base-harmonic system respect to S_1, S_2 when it is a fixed space of $\bar{\varphi}^*$.

Remark 1.8 If we denote $\Sigma_h = \Sigma \cap \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2))_h)$ and $\Sigma_b = \Sigma \cap \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2))_b)$, we see that Σ is a base-harmonic system if and only if $\Sigma = \Sigma_h + \Sigma_b$; that is, V has a base composed by harmonic and base quadrics. ■

Proposition 1.9 Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be a projective variety.

1. If X has a harmonic involution φ respect to two spaces S_1, S_2 then the system $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_X(2)))$ is base-harmonic system respect to S_1, S_2 .
2. Suppose that X is the complete intersection of quadrics. If $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_X(2)))$ is a base-harmonic system respect to S_1, S_2 , then X has a harmonic involution respect to two spaces S_1, S_2 .

Proof:

1. Let $Q \in \mathbf{P}(H^0(I_X(2)))$, that is, X is contained on Q . It is sufficient to show that $\bar{\varphi}^*(Q)$ contains X . Since $\bar{\varphi}(X) = X$ and $X \subset Q$, $X = \bar{\varphi}(X) \subset \bar{\varphi}(Q)$ and the conclusion follows.
2. Let $\bar{\varphi} : \mathbf{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ the harmonic involution defined by the spaces S_1, S_2 . If X is the complete intersection of quadrics, then $X = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_k$ where $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_k\}$ is a base of $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_X(2)))$. If $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_X(2)))$ is a base-harmonic system respect to S_1, S_2 we can choose a base of fixed quadrics, so

$$\bar{\varphi}(X) = \bar{\varphi}(Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_k) = \bar{\varphi}(Q_1) \cap \dots \cap \bar{\varphi}(Q_k) = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_k = X$$

and we can restrict $\bar{\varphi}$ to X . ■

Proposition 1.10 Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be a projective variety and let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ a harmonic involution of X respect to spaces S_1, S_2 .

Let $F = \overline{\{P \in \mathbf{P}^n / P \in \langle x, \varphi(x) \rangle, x \in X\}}$ be the variety of lines joining points of X related by the involution. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(I_{X, P^n}(2))_b &= h^0(I_{F, P^n}(2))_b = \\ &= h^0(I_{F, P^n}(2)) - h^0(I_{F \cap S_1, S_1}(2)) - h^0(I_{F \cap S_2, S_2}(2)) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let us first prove that $H^0(I_{X,P^n}(2))_b = H^0(I_{F,P^n}(2))_b$.

Since $X \subset F$, a quadric containing F contains X too, so $H^0(I_{F,P^n}(2))_b \subset H^0(I_{X,P^n}(2))_b$.

Conversely, if $Q \in H^0(I_{X,P^n}(2))_b$, $X, S_1, S_2 \subset Q$. Therefore, each line l of F meets Q in four points: $(x, \varphi(x), l \cap S_1, l \cap S_2)$ and then it is contained on Q . Thus, $F \subset Q$ and $H^0(I_{X,P^n}(2))_b \subset H^0(I_{F,P^n}(2))_b$.

Now, let us consider the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(I_{F \cup S_1, P^n}(2)) \longrightarrow H^0(I_{F, P^n}(2)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^0(I_{F \cap S_1, S_1}(2))$$

Let us see that α is a surjective map. Let $Q_1 \subset S_1$ be a quadric which contains $F \cap S_1$. Taking the cone of Q_1 over S_2 , we obtain a quadric of $\mathbf{P}^n$ that contains the lines joining Q_1 and S_2 so it contains F . From this, we deduce that:

$$h^0(I_{F \cup S_1, P^n}(2)) = h^0(I_{F, P^n}(2)) - h^0(I_{F \cap S_1, S_1}(2))$$

Similarly, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(I_{F \cup S_1 \cup S_2, P^n}(2)) \longrightarrow H^0(I_{F \cup S_1, P^n}(2)) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^0(I_{F \cap S_2, S_2}(2))$$

where $H^0(I_{F \cup S_1 \cup S_2, P^n}(2)) = H^0(I_{F, P^n}(2))_b$ and β is a surjective map. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(I_{F, P^n}(2))_b &= h^0(I_{F \cup S_1, P^n}(2)) - h^0(I_{F \cap S_2, S_2}(2)) = \\ &= h^0(I_{F, P^n}(2)) - h^0(I_{F \cap S_1, S_1}(2)) - h^0(I_{F \cap S_2, S_2}(2)) \end{aligned}$$

■

We finish this section by computing the dimension of the spaces of harmonic and base quadrics in an involution over a normal rational curve.

Let $D_n \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be a rational normal curve of degree n and let $\varphi : D_n \longrightarrow D_n$ an involution of degree 2. The lines joining points related by the involution generate a rational normal ruled surface $R_{n-1} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$. This ruled surface has two directrix curves C_1, C_2 on the base spaces S_1, S_2 of the involution.

We now ([3], IV, 2.17 and 2.19) that $R_{n-1} \cong \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_{P^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{P^1}(-e))$ for some $e \geq 0$. In this way the divisor of hyperplane sections of R_{n-1} is $H \sim X_0 + (n-1+e)/2f$. Moreover the curve D_n corresponds to a 2-secant curve on the surface, so $D_n \sim 2X_0 + kf$. Since $\deg(D_n) = D_n \cdot H = n$, we obtain $k = e + 1$. Because D_n is irreducible, $D_n \cdot X_0 \geq 0$ and we obtain $e \leq 1$. We deduce that $e = 0$ if n is odd and $e = 1$ if n is even.

In this way we see that if n is odd, the directrix curves C_1, C_2 have degree $(n-1)/2$ and if n is even, the directrix curves have degree $(n-2)/2$ and $n/2$.

By applying Proposition 1.10 we have that:

$$h^0(I_{D_n}(2))_b = h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2)) - h^0(I_{C_1}(2)) - h^0(I_{C_2}(2))$$

The dimension of these spaces are well known. Thus, we obtain:

$$h^0(I_{D_n}(2))_b = \begin{cases} (\frac{n-1}{2})^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{n(n-2)}{4} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Note that when n is odd, $D_n \sim 2X_0 + f$, so $D_n \cdot X_0 = 1$ with $C_1, C_2 \sim X_0$. Thus, the base points of the involution of D_n are $D_n \cap C_1$ and $D_n \cap C_2$. When n is even, $D_n \sim 2X_0 + 2f$, so $D_n \cdot X_0 = 0$ and $D_n \cdot (X_0 + f) = 2$ where $C_1 \sim X_0$ and $C_2 \sim X_0 + f$. In this case the base points of the involutions of D_n are the two points of $D_n \cap C_2$. ■

2 Involutions of the canonical curve.

Let C_K be the canonical curve of genus π and let $\varphi : C_K \rightarrow C_K$ be an involution. We saw that it is a harmonic involution. We will use the results obtained in [2]. The scroll generated by the involution is a canonical scroll $R_{\mathfrak{b}}$. We call genus of the involution φ to the genus of the ruled surface $R_{\mathfrak{b}}$. Thus we have a $2 : 1$ -morphism $\gamma : C_K \rightarrow X$. $R_{\mathfrak{b}}$ has a canonical directrix curve $\overline{X_0}$ of genus g , and a nonspecial curve $\overline{X_1}$ with degree $\pi - 1$. They lie on disjoint spaces $\mathbf{P}^{g-1}$ and $P^{\pi-g-1}$. The involution of C_K has $2(\pi - 1 - 2(g - 1))$ base points that are the ramifications of γ . We denote them by $\mathcal{B}$ and we know that $\mathcal{B} \sim C_K \cap \overline{X_1}$.

Let us compute the number of base quadrics containing C_K , that is, the dimension of $H^0(I_{C_K}(2))_b$. By Proposition 1.10 we know that:

$$h^0(I_{C_K}(2))_b = h^0(I_{R_{\mathfrak{b}}}(2)) - h^0(I_{\overline{X_0}, P^{g-1}}(2)) - h^0(I_{\overline{X_1}, P^{\pi-g-1}}(2))$$

We can compute this dimension because we know that (see [2]):

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(I_{R_{\mathfrak{b}}}(2)) &= h^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^{\pi-1}}(2)) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_{S_{\mathfrak{b}}}(2H)) + \dim(s(H, H)) \\ h^0(I_{\overline{X_0}}(2)) &= h^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^{g-1}}(2)) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2\mathcal{K})) + \dim(s(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})) \\ h^0(I_{\overline{X_1}}(2)) &= h^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^{\pi-g-1}}(2)) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2\mathfrak{b})) + \dim(s(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b})) \\ \dim(s(H, H)) &= \dim(s(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})) + \dim(s(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b})) \end{aligned}$$

From this, we obtain:

$$h^0(I_{C_K}(2))_b = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^{\pi-1}}(2))_b - h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b} + \mathcal{K}))$$

Thus the number of base quadrics containing $C_{\mathcal{K}}$ is:

$$h^0(C_{\mathcal{K}}(2))_b = (g-1)(\pi-g-2)$$

■

Theorem 2.1 *Let $C_{\mathcal{K}} \subset \mathbf{P}^{\pi-1}$ be a nonhyperelliptic canonical curve of genus π that it is complete intersection of quadrics. $C_{\mathcal{K}}$ has an involution of degree 2 if and only if $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_{\mathcal{K}}}(2)))$ is harmonic respect to two disjoint complementary subspaces of dimensions k and $\pi-k-2$, with $k \leq \pi-k-1$. Moreover the involution has genus $g = k+1$ if and only if $h^0(I_{C_{\mathcal{K}}}(2))_b = (g-1)(\pi-g-2)$.*

Proof: The first assertion follows from Proposition 1.9.

By the above discussion we know the number of base quadrics $h^0(I_{C_{\mathcal{K}}}(2))_b = (g'-1)(\pi-g'-2)$ where g' is the genus of the involution. This genus is $k+1$ or $\pi-k-1$. Suppose that $(g'-1)(\pi-g'-2) = (g-1)(\pi-g-2)$ with $g = k+1$. If $g' = \pi-k-1 = \pi-g$ then we obtain $\pi = 2g$ so the genus g' of the involution is $k+1$. ■

Remark 2.2 It is well known that a nonhyperelliptic canonical curve of genus $\pi \geq 5$ is the complete intersection of quadrics, except when it is trigonal or when $\pi = 6$ and it has a g_5^2 . In the first case the intersection of the quadrics of $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_{\mathcal{K}}}(2)))$ is a rational normal scroll; in the second case it is the Veronese surface of $\mathbf{P}^5$. ■

2.1 Involutions of the canonical curve of genus $\pi = 4$.

Let $C_{\mathcal{K}} \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ be a canonical curve of genus 4. It is well known that this curve is the complete intersection of a quadric and a cubic surface (see [3], IV, Example 5.2.2). Suppose that $C_{\mathcal{K}}$ has an involution $C_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow X$ where X is a smooth curve of genus g . We have that $\pi-1 \geq 2g-2$, so then genus of X can be 1 or 2 (if $g=0$ the curve $C_{\mathcal{K}}$ is hyperelliptic).

Proposition 2.1.1 *A canonical curve of genus 4 has an elliptic involution if and only if it is the complete intersection of an elliptic cubic cone S and a quadric that doesn't pass through the vertex of S .*

Proof: Suppose that $C_{\mathcal{K}}$ has an elliptic involution. We know that the involution generates a scroll R . In this case the directrix curve X_0 has degree $g-1=0$, so it is a point. Then R is an elliptic cone. If Q is the unique quadric that contains

C_K , then necessary $C_K = Q \cap R$. Moreover, we know that $C_K \cap X_0 = \emptyset$, so Q does not pass through the vertex of R . Conversely, if $C_K = Q \cap R$ and Q does not pass through the vertex of R , the generators of S provide an elliptic involution of C_K . ■

Theorem 2.1.2 *A canonical curve of genus 4 has an involution of genus 2 if and only if it is the complete intersection of a quadric and a cubic surface which has a harmonic involution respect to polar lines respect to the quadric.*

Proof:

1. Suppose that $C_K = Q_2 \cap Q_3$ where Q_2 is a quadric and Q_3 is a cubic surface with a harmonic involution $\varphi : Q_3 \rightarrow Q_3$. Let l and l' the base spaces. Suppose that they are polar respect to Q_2 . From this, $\varphi(Q_2) = Q_2$ so C_K has a harmonic involution. Because the base spaces have dimension 1, the involution has genus 2.
2. Suppose that C_K has a harmonic involution φ of genus 2. From this, the base spaces are two lines l and l' . Let $R \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ the ruled surface generated by the involution. We know that R contains l and l' with multiplicities 3 and 2 respectively. Moreover, $l' \cap C_K = \emptyset$ and $l \cap C_K$ consist of two points. We know that $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_K}(2))) = \{Q_2\}$ and $\dim \mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_K}(3))) = 4$. In this way $C_K = Q_2 \cap Q_3$ for any cubic surface $Q_3 \in \mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_K}(3)))$. Consider the involution $\bar{\varphi} : \mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_K}(3))) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_K}(3)))$ induced by φ . Let us see that there is a fixed irreducible element. Let V be the set of reducible elements of $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_K}(3)))$. Because Q_2 is the unique quadric containing C_K then $V = \{Q_2 + H; H \subset \mathbf{P}^3\}$ and $\dim(V) = 3$. Since the base points of $\bar{\varphi}$ generate $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_K}(3)))$, then there exist at least a fixed irreducible element. ■

2.2 Involutions of the Veronese surface.

Let $v_{2,n}$ be the Veronese map of $\mathbf{P}^n$:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{2,n} : \mathbf{P}^{n*} &\longrightarrow V_{2,n} \subset \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2))) \\ [x_0 : \dots : x_n] &\longrightarrow [x_0^2 : x_0x_1 : \dots : x_n^2] \end{aligned}$$

We will denote the image of this map by $V_{2,n}$. If $n = 2$, it is the Veronese surface and we will denote it by V_2 .

Proposition 2.2.1 *The involutions of the Veronese variety $V_{2,n}$ are harmonic respect to two base spaces which are the harmonic and base quadrics of two subspaces S_1, S_2 of $\mathbf{P}^n$.*

Proof: A harmonic involution $\varphi : \mathbf{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ respect to spaces S_1, S_2 induces a harmonic involution $\bar{\varphi}$ in $\mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2)))$ respect to $\mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2))_h)$ and $\mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2))_b)$.

Because $\bar{\varphi} \circ v_{2,n} = v_{2,n} \circ \bar{\varphi}$, we see that $\bar{\varphi}$ restricts to $V_{2,n}$. In this way we have a harmonic involution $\bar{\varphi} : V_{2,n} \rightarrow V_{2,n}$ respect to the spaces $\mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2))_h)$ and $\mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2))_b)$.

Conversely, given an involution η of V_2 . Applying the isomorphism $v_{2,n}$, we obtain an involution φ^* of $\mathbf{P}^{n*}$ with base spaces S_1^*, S_2^* . In this way, the dual map $\varphi = \varphi^{**}$ provides an involution $\bar{\varphi}$ of $\mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2)))$ such that $\bar{\varphi}|_{V_{2,n}} = \eta$. ■

We will denote the Veronese surface by V_2 .

Corollary 2.2.2 *Any nontrivial involution of the Veronese surface V_2 is harmonic respect to a line which corresponds to the conics of $\mathbf{P}^2$ passing through a point P and a line r , and a 3-dimensional space V corresponding to the polar conics of $\mathbf{P}^2$ respect to P and r .*

Proof: It is sufficient to note that an involution η of V_2 is induced by and involution φ of $\mathbf{P}^2$. If φ is nontrivial, then its base spaces are a point P and a line r . ■

Proposition 2.2.3 *Let η be a nontrivial harmonic involution of the Veronese surface. Then $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{V_2}(2))_b = 2$.*

Proof: Consider the Veronese map of $\mathbf{P}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 : \mathbf{P}^{n*} &\longrightarrow V_2 \subset \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P^n}(2))) \\ [x_0 : x_1 : x_2] &\longrightarrow [x_0^2 : x_0x_1 : \dots : x_2^2] = [y_0 : y_1 : \dots : y_5] \end{aligned}$$

Let Y be the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_0 & y_1 & y_2 \\ y_1 & y_3 & y_4 \\ y_2 & y_4 & y_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

We know that $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{V_2}(2))$ is generated by the quadrics of $\mathbf{P}^5$ whose equations are defined by the minors of order 2 of the matrix Y .

Moreover the base spaces of φ are a line l corresponding to the conics of $\mathbf{P}^2$ passing through a line r and a point P and a space V corresponding to the polar conics respect to P and r .

Taking an adequate system of coordinates we can consider P generated by the equations $\{x_1 = x_2 = 0\}$ and r generated by the equation $x_0 = 0$.

A conic containing P and r has an equation $ax_0x_1 + bx_0x_2 = 0$. Then the equations of l are $\{y_0 = y_3 = y_4 = y_5 = 0\}$.

A polar conic respect to P and r has an equation $ax_0^2 + bx_1^2 + cx_1x_2 + dx_2^2 = 0$. Then the equations of V are $\{y_1 = y_2 = 0\}$.

Applying the conditions to contain V and l to the equations of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{V_2}(2))$, we obtain that the quadrics containing V and l are generated by $\{y_1y_4 - y_2y_3 = y_1y_5 - y_2y_4 = 0\}$. From this, $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{V_2}(2))_b = 2$. ■

2.3 Harmonic involutions of the rational ruled surfaces.

Let $R_{n-1} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ a rational normal ruled surface of degree $n-1$, with $n > 3$. Let $\varphi : R_{n-1} \rightarrow R_{n-1}$ a harmonic involution of the surface. Then φ conserves the degree of the curves. From this, it applies generators into generators if $n > 3$. In this way, we have the following induced harmonic involutions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi_0 : \mathbf{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}^1 \\ P & \longrightarrow & Q \quad / \varphi(Pf) = Qf \end{array}$$

where $\mathbf{P}^1$ parameterizes the generators.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi_l : |D_l| & \longrightarrow & |D_l| \\ D & \longrightarrow & \varphi(D) \end{array}$$

where $|D_l|$ is the linear system of curves of degree l .

Let k be the degree of the curve of minimum degree of R_{n-1} :

1. If $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$, then there is a 1-dimensional family of irreducible curves of degree k . The involution φ_k has at least 2 base points, so there are two disjoint curves D_k that are invariant by φ .
2. If $k < \frac{n-1}{2}$, then there is a unique curve of minimum degree, so it is invariant by φ . Moreover, if $l = n-1-k$ the linear system $|D_l|$ has dimension $l-k$. Its generic curve is an irreducible curve disjoint from D_k . In particular, the set of reducible curves of $|D_l|$ are an hyperplane composed by curves of the form $D_k + \sum f_i$. Thus, we have a harmonic involution:

$$\varphi_l : \mathbf{P}^{l-k} \cong |D_l| \longrightarrow |D_l| \cong \mathbf{P}^{l-k}$$

We know that φ_l has two disjoint spaces of base points. Both of them can not be contained on the hyperplane (because they generate $\mathbf{P}^{n-k}$), so necessary there exists an irreducible curve in $|D_l|$ that is fixed by the involution φ_l ; that is, it is invariant by φ .

We conclude the following proposition:

Proposition 2.3.1 *Given a harmonic involution on a rational normal ruled surface of degree $n - 1$, there exist two disjoint rational normal curves D_k, D_l with degrees k and l , $k + l = n - 1$, that are invariant by the involution.*

Let $D_k \subset \mathbf{P}^k$ and $D_l \subset \mathbf{P}^l$ be the two invariant curves. The involution φ restricts to these spaces. Thus, we have a harmonic involution $\varphi_k : \mathbf{P}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^k$. It has two invariant spaces $\mathbf{P}^{k_1}, \mathbf{P}^{k_2}$ with $k_1 + k_2 + 1 = k$. Similarly, the harmonic involution $\varphi_l : \mathbf{P}^l \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^l$ has two invariant spaces $\mathbf{P}^{l_1}, \mathbf{P}^{l_2}$ with $l_1 + l_2 + 1 = l$. From this, we have two possibilities for the base spaces S_1, S_2 of the involution φ :

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \langle \mathbf{P}^{k_1}, \mathbf{P}^{l_1} \rangle = \mathbf{P}^{k_1+l_1+1} & S_1 &= \langle \mathbf{P}^{k_1}, \mathbf{P}^{l_2} \rangle = \mathbf{P}^{k_1+l_2+1} \\ S_2 &= \langle \mathbf{P}^{k_2}, \mathbf{P}^{l_2} \rangle = \mathbf{P}^{k_2+l_2+1} & S_2 &= \langle \mathbf{P}^{k_2}, \mathbf{P}^{l_1} \rangle = \mathbf{P}^{k_2+l_1+1} \end{aligned}$$

Conversely if we have two harmonic involutions in $\mathbf{P}^k$ and $\mathbf{P}^l$ we can recuperate an involution in $\mathbf{P}^n$. Note that this involution is not unique, because we have two ways to define it. Moreover, in order to restrict the involution to the ruled surface $q : R_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ we need that the involutions in $\mathbf{P}^k$ and $\mathbf{P}^l$ restrict to D_k and D_l and that they are compatible, that is, the images of the points on the same generator lay on the same generator: $q(\varphi_k(D_k \cap Pf)) = q(\varphi_l(D_l \cap Pf)), \forall P \in \mathbf{P}^1$.

Thus, if φ_k and φ_l verify these conditions we have a harmonic involution φ that restricts to R_{n-1} .

Proposition 2.3.2 *A harmonic involution on a normal rational ruled surface R_{n-1} defines two harmonic involutions φ_k, φ_l on two disjoint rational curves D_k, D_l that generate the surface. Moreover, they make commutative the diagram (1).*

Conversely, if two harmonic involutions φ_k, φ_l on two rational curves generating a rational ruled surface R_{n-1} verifying $q(\varphi_k(D_k \cap Pf)) = q(\varphi_l(D_l \cap Pf)), \forall P \in \mathbf{P}^1$, then they define two possible harmonic involutions on R_{n-1} , taking the space bases generate by the space bases of φ_k and φ_l .

Remark 2.3 In order to obtain compatible involutions φ_k, φ_l it is sufficient to define a involution η on $\mathbf{P}^1$ and to translate it to D_k and D_l :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(D_k \cap Pf) &:= D_k \cap \eta(P)f \\ \varphi_l(D_l \cap Pf) &:= D_l \cap \eta(P)f \end{aligned}$$

for all $P \in \mathbf{P}^1$.

Moreover, if φ_k and φ_l are compatible involutions and one of them is the identity, then the other one is the identity too. ■

We saw how are the (nontrivial) harmonic involutions on a normal rational curve $D_m \subset \mathbf{P}^m$:

1. If $m = 2\mu$ the involution is defined by two base spaces $\mathbf{P}^\mu, \mathbf{P}^{\mu-1}$ such that $\mathbf{P}^\mu \cap D_m = P \cup Q$ and $\mathbf{P}^{\mu-1} \cap D_m = \emptyset$ (P, Q base points).
2. If $m = 2\mu + 1$ then involution is defined by two base spaces $\mathbf{P}_1^\mu, \mathbf{P}_2^\mu$ such that $\mathbf{P}_1^\mu \cap D_m = P$ and $\mathbf{P}_2^\mu \cap D_m = Q$ (P, Q base points).

In both cases the involution generates a normal rational ruled surface R_{m-1} of degree $m - 1$, whose directrix curves lay on the space bases. We call them base curves.

Thus, let φ be a harmonic involution on R_{n-1} . Let φ_k, φ_l be the harmonic involutions induced on the directrix curves D_k, D_l . Let $\mathbf{P}^{k_1}, \mathbf{P}^{k_2}, \mathbf{P}^{l_1}, \mathbf{P}^{l_2}$ be the base spaces of φ_k and φ_l . We know that the base spaces of φ are $S_1 = \langle \mathbf{P}^{k_1}, \mathbf{P}^{l_1} \rangle, S_2 = \langle \mathbf{P}^{k_2}, \mathbf{P}^{l_2} \rangle$. Let $C_{k_1}, C_{k_2}, C_{l_1}, C_{l_2}$ the corresponding base curves. Let F be the variety of lines that join the points of the involution: $F = \overline{\{P \in \mathbf{P}^n / P \in \langle x, f(x) \rangle, x \in R_{n-1}\}}$. Let us identificate $F \cap S_1$ and $F \cap S_2$.

Lemma 2.3.3 *The variety $F \cap S_1$ ($F \cap S_2$) is a normal rational ruled surface of degree $k_1 + l_1 = n_1$ ($k_2 + l_2 = n_2$) generated by the directrix curves C_{k_1} and C_{l_1} (C_{k_2} and C_{l_2}). We call it base ruled surface R_{n_1-1} (R_{n_2-1}).*

Proof: Given a point $P \in R_{n-1}$, consider the line $r = \langle P, f(P) \rangle$ of F . r meets S_1 in a point P_1 that corresponds to project P from S_2 onto S_1 . Thus, given a generator $f \in R_{n-1}$, the lines of F defined by the points of f meet S_1 in a line f_1 ; this line is the projection of f from S_b . Moreover, since f meets D_k and D_l , its projection on S_1 meets C_{k_1} and C_{l_1} . In this way we see that the generator of R_{n-1} project into lines joining C_{k_1} and C_{l_2} , so $F \cap S_1$ is the rational ruled surface defined by these directrix curves. ■

We saw that a harmonic involution on a normal rational ruled surface is defined by the involutions of the directrix curves D_k and D_l . From this, we distinguish several types of involutions:

1. φ_k and φ_l are the identity.
Then the base spaces of φ are the spaces $\mathbf{P}^k$ and $\mathbf{P}^l$ that contain the directrix curves. All the generators are invariants by φ and the variety F is the ruled surface R_{n-1} .
2. φ_k and φ_l are not trivial.
2.1. $n - 1 = 2\lambda$ (even).

2.1.1. $k = 2\mu, l = 2(\lambda - \mu)$.

Then the involutions on D_k and D_l have the following base spaces and base curves:

$C_\mu \in \mathbf{P}^\mu, C_{\mu-1} \in \mathbf{P}^{\mu-1}$, with $P_k, Q_k \in C_\mu$ base points of D_k .
 $C_{\lambda-\mu} \in \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu}, C_{\lambda-\mu-1} \in \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu-1}$, with $P_l, Q_l \in C_{\lambda-\mu}$ base points of D_l .

Then, the base spaces of φ are:

2.1.1.1 Case A:

$$S_1 = \langle \mathbf{P}^\mu, \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu} \rangle = \mathbf{P}^{\lambda+1} \ni P_k, Q_k, P_l, Q_l.$$

$$S_2 = \langle \mathbf{P}^{\mu-1}, \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu-1} \rangle = \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-1}.$$

Where the generators $f_P, f_Q \in \mathbf{P}^{\lambda+1}$ are fixed.

2.1.1.2 Case B:

$$S_1 = \langle \mathbf{P}^\mu, \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu-1} \rangle = \mathbf{P}^\lambda \ni P_k, Q_k.$$

$$S_2 = \langle \mathbf{P}^{\mu-1}, \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu} \rangle = \mathbf{P}^\lambda \ni P_l, Q_l.$$

Where the generators f_P, f_Q are invariant (not fixed).

2.1.2. $k = 2\mu + 1, l = 2(\lambda - \mu) - 1$.

Then the involutions on D_k and D_l have the following base spaces and base curves:

$P_k \in C_\mu \in \mathbf{P}^\mu, Q_k \in C_\mu \in \mathbf{P}^\mu$, with P_k, Q_k base points of D_k .
 $P_l \in C_{\lambda-\mu} \in \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu}, Q_l \in C_{\lambda-\mu} \in \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu}$, with P_l, Q_l base points of D_l .

Then, the base spaces of φ are:

2.1.2.1 Case C:

$$S_1 = \langle \mathbf{P}^\mu, \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu-1} \rangle = \mathbf{P}^\lambda \ni P_k, P_l.$$

$$S_2 = \langle \mathbf{P}^\mu, \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu-1} \rangle = \mathbf{P}^\lambda \ni Q_k, Q_l.$$

Where the generators $f_P \in \mathbf{P}^a, f_Q \in S_2$ are fixed.

2.1.2.2 Case B: (similar to case 2.1.1.2).

$$S_1 = \langle \mathbf{P}^\mu, \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu-1} \rangle = \mathbf{P}^\lambda \ni P_k, Q_l.$$

$$S_2 = \langle \mathbf{P}^\mu, \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu-1} \rangle = \mathbf{P}^\lambda \ni P_l, Q_k.$$

Where the generators f_P, f_Q are invariant (not fixed).

2.2. $n - 1 = 2\lambda - 1$ even.

Then the curves D_k and D_l have degrees $k = 2\mu$ and $l = 2(\lambda - \mu) - 1$.
The base spaces and base curves are:

$C_\mu \in \mathbf{P}^\mu, C_{\mu-1} \in \mathbf{P}^{\mu-1}$, with $P_k, Q_k \in C_\mu$ base points of D_k .
 $P_l \in C_{\lambda-\mu-1} \in \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu-1}, Q_l \in C_{\lambda-\mu-1} \in \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu-1}$, with P_l, Q_l base points of D_l .

In any case, the base spaces of φ are:

2.2.1. Case D:

$$S_1 = \langle \mathbf{P}^\mu, \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu-1} \rangle = \mathbf{P}^\lambda \ni P_k, Q_k, P_l.$$

$$S_2 = \langle \mathbf{P}^\mu, \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-\mu-1} \rangle = \mathbf{P}^\lambda \ni Q_l.$$

Where f_P is a fixed generator and f_Q are an invariant generator.

Let $\varphi : R_{n-1} \rightarrow R_{n-1}$ a harmonic involution over the rational normal ruled surface $R_{n-1} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$. By the proposition 1.9 we know that $H^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))$ is a base-harmonic system; that is, $H^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2)) = H^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_h \oplus H^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_b$. Let us see the dimension of these spaces. We know that $h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2)) = \binom{n-1}{2}$. We will treat each case separated:

1. All generators are invariant by the involution.

We use the proposition 1.10. In this case $F = R_{n-1}$, $F \cap \mathbf{P}^a = D_k$ and $F \cap \mathbf{P}^b = D_l$. Thus,

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_b = h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2)) - h^0(I_{D_k}(2)) - h^0(I_{D_l}(2)) = kl$$

and

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_h = h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2)) - h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_b = \binom{n-1}{2} - kl$$

2. The generic generator is not invariant by the involution.

We use the proposition 1.10. But in this case, $F \cap S_1 = R_{n_1-1}$ and $F \cap S_2 = R_{n_2}$. Then we have:

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_b = h^0(I_F(2)) - h^0(I_{R_{n_1-1}}(2)) - h^0(I_{R_{n_2}}(2)) \quad (2)$$

A quadric containing $R_{n-1} \cup R_{n_1-1}$ meets each line of F in three points. Then such quadric contains F , so $H^0(I_F(2)) = H^0(I_{R_{n-1} \cup R_{n_1-1}}(2))$. Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(I_{R_{n-1} \cup R_{n_1-1}}(2)) \rightarrow H^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^0(\mathcal{O}_{R_{n_1-1}}(2 - Y))$$

where $Y = R_{n-1} \cap R_{n_1-1}$. Then:

$$h^0(I_F(2)) \geq h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2)) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_{R_{n_1-1}}(2 - R_{n-1} \cap R_{n_1-1}))$$

and applying (2) we obtain in each case:

- A. $(n-1 = 2\lambda, S_1 = \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-1}, S_2 = \mathbf{P}^{\lambda+1}, f_Q, f_P \text{ fixed generators.})$

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_b \geq \lambda(\lambda-1)$$

- B. $(n-1 = 2\lambda, S_1 = \mathbf{P}_1^\lambda, S_2 = \mathbf{P}_2^\lambda, f_Q, f_P \text{ invariant (not fixed) generators, with } f_P \cap \mathbf{P}_i^\lambda = P_i, f_Q \cap P_i^\lambda = Q_i.)$

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_b \geq \lambda(\lambda-1)$$

- C. $(n-1 = 2\lambda, S_1 = \mathbf{P}_1^\lambda, S_2 = \mathbf{P}_2^\lambda, f_Q, f_P \text{ fixed generators, with } f_P \in \mathbf{P}_1^\lambda, f_Q \in \mathbf{P}_2^\lambda.)$

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_b \geq \lambda(\lambda-1) + 1$$

- D. ($n - 1 = 2\lambda - 1$, $S_1 = \mathbf{P}^{\lambda-1}$, $S_2 = \mathbf{P}^\lambda$, f_Q fixed generator in $\mathbf{P}^\lambda$, and f_q invariant generator, with $f_Q \cap P^{\lambda-1} = Q_1$.)

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_b \geq (\lambda - 1)^2$$

Now, let us compute the harmonic quadrics.

Let E_k the set of $k + 1$ generic points in D_k and E_l the set of $l + 1$ generic points on D_l . Note that a harmonic quadric that passes through a point of R_{n-1} passes through the image point too. From this, a quadric passing through $E_k(E_l)$ meets $D_k(D_l)$ in $2k + 2(2l + 2)$ points because $D_k(D_l)$ is invariant by the involution. Moreover, a harmonic quadric that contains D_k and D_l , contains the invariant (not fixed) generators too, because they meet each space base in a point.

Finally, a harmonic quadric containing D_k and D_l and passing through m generic points of R_{n-1} contains their images ($2m$ points) and the corresponding $2m$ generators. Let E_m be m generic points of R_{n-1} and let E be $E_k \cup E_l \cup E_m$.

If Q is a harmonic quadric passing through the points of E , then $D_k \cup D_l \cup \{\text{invariant generators}\} \cup 2mf \subset Q \cap R_{n-1}$. If $2m > 2(n - 1) - (k + l)$ —number of invariant generators, then $R_{n-1} \subset Q$ and we have the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_h \longrightarrow H^0(I_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(2))_h \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_E(2))$$

From this:

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_h \geq h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(2))_h - (n + 1 + m)$$

In each case we obtain:

- A. There are not invariant generators. Taking $m = \lambda + 1$ we have:

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_h \geq \binom{\lambda+1}{2} + \binom{\lambda+3}{2} - (3\lambda + 3)$$

- B. There are two invariant generators. Taking $m = \lambda$ we have:

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_h \geq \binom{\lambda+2}{2} + \binom{\lambda+2}{2} - (3\lambda + 2)$$

- C. There are not invariant generators. Taking $m = \lambda + 1$ we have:

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_h \geq \binom{\lambda+2}{2} + \binom{\lambda+2}{2} - (3\lambda + 3)$$

- D. There is an invariant generator. Taking $m = \lambda$ we have:

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_h \geq \binom{\lambda+1}{2} + \binom{\lambda+2}{2} - (3\lambda + 1)$$

We see that the sum of the bounds computed for the harmonic and base quadrics is the quadrics of $H^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))$ in all cases, so these bounds are reached in all cases, and we have the number of base quadrics:

Proposition 2.3.4 *Let $R_{n-1} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be a rational normal scroll of degree $n-1$. Let $\varphi : R_{n-1} \rightarrow R_{n-1}$ be a harmonic involution. Then we have the following cases:*

1. *All the generators are invariant. There are two directrix curves of base point D_k, D_l with $k+l = n-1$. They lay on the base spaces $\mathbf{P}^k, \mathbf{P}^l$.*

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_b = kl$$

2. *There are two invariant (fixed or not):*

- (1) $n-1 = 2\lambda$ (n even).

- A. *The base spaces are $\mathbf{P}^{\lambda-1}, \mathbf{P}^{\lambda+1}$. There are two fixed generators in $\mathbf{P}^{\lambda+1}$.*

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_b = \lambda(\lambda-1)$$

- B. *The base spaces are $\mathbf{P}^\lambda, \mathbf{P}^\lambda$. There is a fixed generator in each of them.*

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_b = \lambda(\lambda-1) + 1$$

- C. *The base spaces are $\mathbf{P}^\lambda, \mathbf{P}^\lambda$. There are not fixed generators.*

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_b = \lambda(\lambda-1)$$

- (2) $n-1 = 2\lambda-1$ (n odd).

- D. *The base spaces are $\mathbf{P}^{\lambda-1}, \mathbf{P}^\lambda$. There is a fixed generator in $\mathbf{P}^\lambda$.*

$$h^0(I_{R_{n-1}}(2))_b = (\lambda-1)^2$$

■

2.4 Involutions of the canonical curve of genus $\pi > 4$.

We have investigated all the possible cases where the quadrics that contain a canonical curve are a base-harmonic system:

Theorem 2.4.1 *The unique cases where the system of quadrics containing a canonical curve C_K of genus π , $\pi > 4$ are a base-harmonic system respect to base spaces $\mathbf{P}^{g-1}$, $\mathbf{P}^{\pi-g-1}$ ($\pi \geq 2g-1 > 0$) with b independent base quadrics are:*

1. $b = (g-1)(\pi-g-2)$
 - (a) *If $\pi = 2g, 2g+1, 2g+2$ and the curve has a g_3^1 or an involution of genus g , or both of them; except if $\pi = 6$ and $g = 2$ when C_K can have a g_5^2 .*
 - (b) *If $\pi \neq 2g, 2g+1, 2g+2$ and the curve C_K has a γ_2^1 of genus g .*
2. $b = (g-1)(\pi-g-2) + 1$, $\pi = 2g-1$ or $\pi = 2g$ and C_K has a g_3^1 (not a γ_2^1).
3. $b = (g-1)(\pi-g-1)$ and C_K has a g_3^1 (not a γ_2^1).

Corollary 2.4.2 *The unique involutions on a trigonal canonical curve of genus π , $\pi > 4$ are of genus $\frac{\pi}{2}$, $\frac{\pi-1}{2}$ or $\frac{\pi-2}{2}$.*

Proof: Let $C_K \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be a trigonal canonical curve and let $R_{\pi-2}$ be the ruled surface of trisecants. Suppose that C_K has an involution of genus g . Then the system of quadrics $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_K}(2)))$ is a base-harmonic system with $(g-1)(\pi-g-2)$ independent base quadrics. Since $R_{\pi-2} = \bigcap_{Q \supset C_K} Q$, we have a harmonic involution over $R_{\pi-2}$. By Proposition 2.3.4 we know that $\pi = 2g$, $\pi = 2g+1$ or $\pi = 2g+2$ and the conclusion follows. ■

Corollary 2.4.3 *The unique involutions on a smooth quintic plane curve are of genus 2.* ■

Theorem 2.4.4 *Let $C_K \subset \mathbf{P}^{\pi-1}$ a canonical curve of genus π , $\pi > 4$. Then C_K has an involution of genus 1 if and only if the quadrics of $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_K}(2)))$ are a base-harmonic system respect to a point and a space $\mathbf{P}^{\pi-2}$ without base quadrics.*

Proof: If C_K has an involution of genus 1, we know that the involution generates an elliptic cone, the system of quadrics $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_K}(2)))$ is harmonic and it hasn't base quadrics respect to $\mathbf{P}^0$ and $\mathbf{P}^{\pi-2}$.

Conversely, if the system of quadrics $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_K}(2)))$ is harmonic respect to $\mathbf{P}^0$ and $\mathbf{P}^{\pi-2}$, necessary it hasn't base quadrics, because the quadric containing C_K are reducible. If C_K is not trigonal we have an involution of genus 1 in C_K . If C_K is trigonal, by Corollary 2.4.2, $\pi = 2, 3, 4$. But we have supposed that $\pi > 4$. ■

Theorem 2.4.5 *Let $C_K \subset \mathbf{P}^{\pi-1}$ be the canonical curve of genus π , with $\pi > 4$. If C_K has an involution of genus g then $\pi \geq 2g - 1$ and the quadrics of $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_K}(2)))$ are a base-harmonic system respect to the base spaces $\mathbf{P}^{g-1}$, $\mathbf{P}^{\pi-g-1}$ that contains $(g-1)(\pi-g-2)$ independent base quadrics. Conversely, these conditions are sufficient to grant the existence of an involution, except when:*

1. $\pi = 6, g = 2$ and C_K has a g_5^2 ; or
2. $\pi = 2g, 2g + 1$ or $2g + 2$ and C_K is trigonal.

■

Remark 2.4 Let us study what happens at the two exceptions:

1. Suppose that C_K is a canonical curve of genus 6 with a g_5^2 , that is, it is isomorphic to a smooth plane curve of degree 5. Suppose that the quadrics of $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_K}(2)))$ are a base-harmonic system respect to the base spaces $\mathbf{P}^1$ and $\mathbf{P}^3$. It induces a harmonic involution on the Veronese surface and then, an involution on the plane. Obviously, the generic plane curve of degree 5 of the plane is not invariant by this involution. So in this case the hypothesis of the above theorem are not sufficient.

However, there are smooth quintic plane curves invariant by an involution. For example, we can take the quintic curve $f(x_0, x_1) - x_2^4 x_0 = 0$ on the plane, where $f(x_0, x_1)$ is a generic homogeneous polynomial of degree 5. This curve is smooth and it's invariant by the involution

$$x_0 \longrightarrow x_0; \quad x_1 \longrightarrow x_1; \quad x_2 \longrightarrow -x_2$$

2. Now, suppose that C_K is trigonal. Then it lies on a rational ruled surface $S_e = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_{P^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{P^1}(-e))$ in the linear systems $|3X_0 + af|$. The canonical embedding is obtained by the linear system $X_0 + (a - e - 2)f$ on the ruled surface.

If $\mathbf{P}(H^0(I_{C_K}(2)))$ is a base-harmonic system then it defines a harmonic involution on the ruled surface S_e . Moreover, we have an induced involution in the linear system $|3X_0 + af|$. The generic curve of this linear system is not invariant by the involution. We see that the hypothesis of the theorem are not sufficient.

On the other hand, there are smooth curves on these linear systems invariant by the involution. Let us see an example. Consider the rational ruled surface $S_0 \cong \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ with coordinates $[(x_0, x_1), (y_0, y_1)]$. We can take the curve on S_0 with equation:

$$x_0^n y_0^3 - x_0^n y_0 y_1^2 + x_1^n y_1^3 + x_1^n y_0^2 y_1 = 0$$

with $n \geq 5$ even. This is a smooth curve of type $(3, n)$ on the linear system $3X_0 + nf$. Moreover it is invariant by the involution

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &\longrightarrow x_0; & x_1 &\longrightarrow -x_1; \\ y_0 &\longrightarrow y_0; & y_1 &\longrightarrow -y_1 \end{aligned}$$

■

References

- [1] FUENTES, L.; PEDREIRA, M. *The Projective Theory of Ruled Surfaces*. Preprint. math.AG/0006204.
- [2] FUENTES, L.; PEDREIRA, M. *Canonical geometrically ruled surfaces*. Preprint. math.AG/0107114.
- [3] HARTSHORNE, R. *Algebraic Geometry*. GTM, 52. Springer–Verlag, 1977.